



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SA

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/988,292	12/10/97	THEEUWES	F ARC-2600-R1

D BYRON MILLER
ALZA CORPORATION
950 PAGE MILL ROAD
PALO ALTO CA 94303-0802

QM12/0525

EXAMINER

MCDERMOTT, C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3763

13

DATE MAILED: 05/25/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or
proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/988,292

Applicant(s)

Theeuwes et al.

Examiner

Corrine M. McDermott

Group Art Unit

3763



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 28, 2000

☒ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-8, 10-21, and 23-29 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☒ Claim(s) 25-27 is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 8, 10-12, 14, 15, 18-21, 23, 24, 28, and 29 is/are rejected.

☒ Claim(s) 6, 7, 13, 16, and 17 is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, ^{Filed} Paper No(s). 12/10/97

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 3734

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 8, 10-12, 14, 15, 18-21, 23, 24, 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0 429 842.

The EP reference discloses a device which includes, as seen in figure 1, a member having a plurality of protrusions 4 extending therefrom, and a connecting medium 3 preloaded with an agent. With further reference to page 7 lines 13-16, it is taught that the member to which the plurality of protrusions are attached is a woven sheet of polypropylene fibers. Clearly there are openings of some size within the woven sheet of fibers, and at various stages of delivery the medium will extend across, through and into these openings.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 28, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that it is logically impossible for the Lee device as a whole to read on the member as claimed, because if the whole device were considered the member, then how

Art Unit: 3734

could any part of it be proximal or distal to itself. This argument makes no sense to the Examiner. With a closer look at the claims, it is merely stated that the device comprises a member *having* a body surface proximal side and a body surface distal side. With respect to figure 1 of Lee, the transdermal administration device reads on the member, and it clearly has a body surface proximal side and a body surface distal side.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6, 7, 13, 16, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 25-27 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the parent application prior to the filing of this Continued Prosecution Application under 37 CFR 1.53(d) and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing under 37 CFR 1.53(d). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3734

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Corrine McDermott, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2111. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3590.



Corrine M. McDermott
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3763